





## ASCE | KNOWLEDGE Seminar Learning Outcomes

- Upon completion of this course, you will be able to :
  - Identify key properties and defining characteristics of tornadoes
  - Explain the current state of practice with regard to design for tornadoes, including options for higher levels of tornado protection beyond ASCE 7-22 minimums
  - Summarize the scope and limitations of ASCE 7-22 tornado load requirements
  - Determine tornado speed for any geographic location, building/facility size, shape, and Risk Category
  - Evaluate tornado loads for a building
  - Determine controlling loads on a building using Strength and ASD load combinations

This is important on the job because ...

- Establishes a basic understanding of tornado hazards and considerations for engineering design
- Provides a foundation for application of the latest tornado design standards for conventional buildings and other structures as well as storm shelters

ASCE & LEARNING



















- Upon completion of this unit, you will be able to :
  - Identify key properties and defining characteristics of tornadoes
  - Estimate tornado speeds required to cause specific levels of damage to typical buildings
  - Understand the historical development and current rationale on design for tornadoes
- This is important on the job because ...
  - Provides the necessary background on tornadoes and associated hazards needed to understand why design for tornadoes is now required



Source: USACE

13

#### ASCE | KNOWLEDGE & LEARNING



# **ASCE** | KNOWLEDGE | What is a Tornado?

**Tornado** - A rapidly rotating column of <u>air</u> extending vertically from the surface to the base of a <u>cumuliform cloud</u>, often with near-surface circulating debris/<u>dust</u> when over land or spray when over water.

Source: American Meteorological Society

- Tornadoes are nature's most violent storms
- Spawned from powerful thunderstorms, tornadoes can cause fatalities and devastate a neighborhood in seconds
- Winds of a tornado may reach 300 mph or more
- Damage paths can be in excess of one mile wide and 50 miles long

Source: National Weather Service















Mesocyclonic Tornado Formation: The ASCE | KNOWLEDGE & LEARNING Downdraft and Outflow В Once a supercell forms, a downdraft and outflow develops from 1. falling rain and hail. The supercell keeps the downdraft's boundary underneath its 2. updraft. Vortices that develop along the downdraft's edge remain under the updraft to merge and strengthen into a tornado. 3. 30 dBZ low-level reflectivity 2 local updraft maximum forced by RFD 22







Tornado Translational Speeds
 Typical tornado speeds 20-40 mph
 But the range is from 0 to >70 mph
 Higher speeds from the MS valley east.
 Slowest speeds in the high Plains.

Modified from Strader and co-authors
https://toutu.be/\_cTC3LXa\_10?t=990

























ASC		RNING	Fujita Scale (F Scale)	
Dr. Ted Fu Mechani doctoral First res Also cr	ujita ical engineeri degree in me earcher to co edited with dise	ng undergradu eteorology nduct extensive covering downbu	ate degree, later completed a e scientific study of tornadoes ırsts/microbursts	PBS Documentary on his life and work – <i>Mr. Tornado: One</i> <i>Man's Pursuit to Understand th</i> <i>Deadliest Storms</i> https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/americanexperience ms/mr-tornado/
Develope Wind sp Introduc	d the epony eed ranges a ed in 1971 ar	mous Fujita S re estimated, b nd subsequently	Scale for rating tornado damage based on the extent of observed dan y adopted by the National Weather	intensity nage Service
Develope Wind sp Introduc	d the epony eed ranges a ed in 1971 ar <sub>Character</sub>	mous Fujita S re estimated, b nd subsequently Estimated winds	Scale for rating tornado damage based on the extent of observed dan y adopted by the National Weather	intensity nage Service
Develope Wind sp Introduc F Scale Zero (F0)	d the epony eed ranges a ed in 1971 ar <u>Character</u> <sub>Weak</sub>	mous Fujita S re estimated, b nd subsequently Estimated winds 40-72 mph	Scale for rating tornado damage based on the extent of observed dan y adopted by the National Weather Light Damage. Some damage to chimneys; branches broker boards damaged.	intensity nage Service
Develope Wind sp Introduce F Scale Zero (F0) One (F1)	d the epony eed ranges a ed in 1971 ar <u>Character</u> Weak Weak	mous Fujita S re estimated, b nd subsequently Estimated winds 40-72 mph 73-112 mph	Scale for rating tornado damage based on the extent of observed dam y adopted by the National Weather Light Damage. Some damage to chimneys; branches broker boards damaged. Moderate damage. Roof surfaces peeled off; mobile homes autos publied off road.	intensity nage Service
Develope Wind sp Introduce F Scale Zero (F0) One (F1) Two (F2)	d the epony eed ranges a ed in 1971 ar <u>Character</u> <u>Weak</u> <u>Weak</u> Strong	mous Fujita S re estimated, b nd subsequently Estimated winds 40-72 mph 73-112 mph 113-157 mph	Scale for rating tornado damage based on the extent of observed dam y adopted by the National Weather Light Damage. Some damage to chimneys; branches broker boards damaged. Moderate damage. Roof surfaces peeled off, mobile homes j autos pushed off road. Considerable damage. Roofs torn from frame houses; mobil large trees snapped or uprotede; light objects become project	intensity nage Service a off trees, shallow-rooted trees uprooted, sign pushed foundations or overturned, moving e homes demolished; boxcars pushed over; ilies.
Develope Wind sp Introduc F Scale Zero (F0) One (F1) Two (F2) Three (F3)	d the epony eed ranges a ed in 1971 ar <u>Character</u> Weak Weak Strong Strong	mous Fujita S re estimated, b nd subsequently Estimated winds 40-72 mph 73-112 mph 113-157 mph 158-206 mph	Scale for rating tornado damage based on the extent of observed dan y adopted by the National Weather Light Damage. Some damage to chimneys; branches broker boards damaged. Moderate damage. Roof surfaces peeled off, mobile homes autos pushed off road. Considerable damage. Roofs torn from frame houses; mobil large trees snapped or uprooted; light objects become project Severe damage. Roofs and some walls forn from well- const forested area uprooted; light day dark from well- const forested area uprooted; heavy cars lifted and thrown.	intensity nage Service a off trees, shallow-rooted trees uprooted, sign pushed foundations or overturned; moving e homes demolished; boxcars pushed over; ities. ructed houses; trains overturned; most trees in
Develope Wind sp Introduce F Scale Zero (F0) One (F1) Two (F2) Three (F3) Four (F4)	d the epony eed ranges a ed in 1971 ar Character Weak Weak Strong Strong Violent	mous Fujita S re estimated, b nd subsequently Estimated winds 40-72 mph 73-112 mph 113-157 mph 158-206 mph 207-260 mph	Scale for rating tornado damage based on the extent of observed dam y adopted by the National Weather Light Damage. Some damage to chimneys; branches broker bards damaged. Moderate damage. Roof surfaces peeled off; mobile homes y autos pushed off road. Considerable damage. Roofs torn from frame houses; mobil large trees snapped or uprooted; light objects become project Severe damage. Roofs and some valls torn from well- const forested area uprooted; heavy cars lifted and thrown. Devastating damage. Well- constructed houses leveled; stru distance; cars thrown; large missiles generated.	intensity nage Service noff trees, shallow-rooted trees uprooted, sign pushed foundations or overturned; moving e homes demolished; boxcars pushed over; liles. ructed houses; trains overturned; most trees in ctures with weak foundation blown some







NUMBER (Details Linked)	DAMAGE INDICATOR	ABBREVIATION
1	Small barns, farm outbuildings	SBO
2	One- or two-family residences	FR12
3	Single-wide mobile home (MHSW)	MHSW
4	Double-wide mobile home	MHDW
5	Apt, condo, townhouse (3 stories or less)	ACT
6	Motel	M
7	Masonry apt. or motel	MAM
8	Small retail bldg. (fast food)	SRB
9	Small professional (doctor office, branch bank)	SPB
10	Strip mall	SM
11	Large shopping mall	LSM
12	Large, isolated ("big box") retail bldg.	LIRB
13	Automobile showroom	ASR
14	Automotive service building	ASB
15	School - 1-story elementary (interior or exterior halls)	ES
16	School - jr. or sr. high school	JHSH
17	Low-rise (1-4 story) bldg.	LRB
18	Mid-rise (5-20 story) bldg.	MRB
19	High-rise (over 20 stories)	HRB
20	Institutional bldg. (hospital, govt. or university)	IB
21	Metal building system	MBS
22	Service station canopy	SSC
23	Warehouse (tilt-up walls or heavy timber)	WHB
24	Transmission line tower	TLT
25	Free-standing tower	FST
26	Free standing pole (light, flag, luminary)	FSP
27	Tree - hardwood	TH
28	Tree - softwood	TS





### FR12 DOD Guidance



FR12: DOD 2: Loss of roof covering (<20%)



FR12: DOD 4: Uplift of roof deck and loss of roof covering (>20%); garage door collapses outward



FR12: DOD 6: Large sections of roof removed; most walls remain standing



FR12: DOD 7: Top floor (First floor in this case) exterior walls collapsed



FR12: DOD 10: Total destruction of entire building

#### ASCE | KNOWLEDGE & LEARNING Assigning a Tornado Rating Using the EF Scale The NWS is the only federal agency with The tornado evaluator will then make a authority to provide 'official' tornado EF Scale judgment within the range of upper and ratings. lower bound wind speeds, as to whether the wind speed to cause the The goal is to assign an EF Scale category damage is higher or lower than the based on the highest wind speed that occurred expected value for the particular DOD. within the damage path. This is done for several structures not First, trained NWS personnel will identify the just one, before a final EF rating is appropriate damage indicator (DI) from more determined than one of the 28 used in rating the damage. Source: National Weather Service https://www.weather.gov/oun/efscale#:~:text=The%20Enhanced%20Fujita%20Sca The construction or description of a building nd%20rel should match the DI being considered, and the observed damage should match one of the degrees of damage (DOD) used by the scale. 46 46





# **ASCE** | KNOWLEDGE EF Scale Wind Speed Estimation - Example

- The elementary school shown was struck by a tornado
- Description: The building was constructed in 2005 using with reinforced concrete masonry unit perimeter walls laterally braced by a metal roof system that consisted of wide-rib metal roof decks covered with rigid thermal insulation and supported by open-web steel roof joists
- 1. Determine the appropriate DI
- 2. Determine the DOD which best describes the damage
- 3. Determine the associated estimated wind speed



49

### EF Scale Wind Speed Estimation - Example General Description These buildings are typically single story with flat roofs Building may contain a small gym or cafeteria with moderately long spans between supports · Buildings have long interior hallways with bearing or non-bearing walls BUR, single-ply membrane, or metal standing seam roof panels Metal or plywood roof decking supporting a light-weight poured gypsum deck · Roof structure consists of open web steel joists bearing on exterior walls and steel interior girders Exterior non-bearing walls constructed with CMUs, glass curtain walls or metal studs with brick veneer, stucco, or EIFS cladding CMU bearing walls with brick veneer, stucco, or EIFS cladding Walls can have a large percentage of window glass Threshold of visible damage Loss of roof covering (<20%) Loss of 100 (Covering (C20%) Broken windows Exterior door failures Uplind of some noof decking: significant loss of roofing material (>20%), loss of rooftop HVAC Damage to or loss of wall cladding Uplind roollapue of roof structure Callence a drage bearen wull: DI: Elementary School (ES) DOD: 10 – total destruction of a large section Collapse of non-bearing walls Collapse of Iod-bearing walls Collapse of Iod-bearing walls Total destruction of a large section of building or entire building \* Degree of Damage of the building or entire building Estimated wind speed: 176 mph 50 50

















![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

U.S. Tornado Losses by F/EF Number ASCE | KNOWLEDGE Average loss per tornado and total loss by Property damage and resulting F/EF number (in 2011 \$) 10 losses per individual tornado (black curve) increase dramatically with F/EF rating 10 Aggregate losses for all tornadoes 10<sup>2</sup> per F/EF number (red curve) are Loss/Tornado (\$M) Total Loss (\$M) of the same magnitude (except EF0) 10 because there are so many more tornadoes with lower intensities 10<sup>0</sup> Source: NIST (2014) Using NOAA data for 1950-2011 10 https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.NCSTAR.3 10 4 ASCE | KNOWLEDGE 2 3 5 EF Number

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Nuclear Facilities: Part 1

- Brief history design for tornadoes at Nuclear Power Plants (NPP)
  - https://becht.com/becht-blog/entry/tornado-design-for-nuclear-power-plants-a-brief-history/

![](_page_54_Picture_4.jpeg)

Criterion 2-Design bases for protection against natural phenomena. Structures, systems, and components important to safety shall be designed to withstand the effects of natural phenomena such as earthquakes, tornadoes, hurricanes, floods, tsunami, and seiches without loss of capability to perform their safety functions. The design bases for these structures, systems, and components shall reflect: (1) Appropriate consideration of the most severe of the natural phenomena that have been historically reported for the site and surrounding area, with sufficient margin for the limited accuracy, quantity, and period of time in which the historical data have been accumulated, (2) appropriate combinations of the effects of normal and accident conditions with the effects of the natural phenomena and (3) the importance of the safety functions to be performed

1. Overall Requirements Criterion 1-Quality standards and records. Criterion 2-Design bases for prote against natural phenomena. Structures tems, and components important to s shall be designed to withstand the effec shall be designed to withstand the effects of natural phenomena such as earthquakes tornadoes, hurricanes, floods, tunami, and selohes without loss of capability to perform their safety functions. The design bases for shall reflect: (1) Appropriate consideration of the most severe of the natural phenomena that have been historically reported for the site and surrounding area, with sufficient margin for the limited accuracy, quantity and period of time in which the historical data have been accumulated. (2) appropriate combinations of the effects of normal and accident conditions with the effects of the natural phenomena and (3) the importance natural phenomena and (3) the imp of the safety functions to be performe

109

#### 109

#### ASCE | KNOWLEDGE & LEARNING ANSI A58.1-1982 [4] Abbey, R.F. Jr. Risk probabilities associated with tornado windspeeds. In: R.E. Peterson, Ed., 1976 pro-ceedings of the symposium on tornadoes: Assessment Precursor to ASCE 7 ANSI A58.1-1982 Commentary of knowledge and implications for man. Lubbock, Texas: Institute for Disaster Research, Texas Tech University Secretariat: National In recent years great strides have been made in [5] Interim guidelines for building occupants protec-tion from tornadoes and extreme winds. Washington, **Bureau of Standards** understanding the effects of tornadoes on buildings. D.C.; Defense Civil Preparedness Agency; 1975; TR-This understanding has been gained through extensive (now NIST) 83A 24 n Available from Superintendent of Docudocumentation of building damage caused by tornadic s, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. storms and through analyses of the collected data. 1982 edition included a Currently, buildings and structures related to the nu-[6] McDonald, J.R.; Mehta, K.C.; Minor, J.E. Tornado-resistant design of nuclear power-plant structures. Nuclear Safety. 15(4): 432-439; July-August 1974. clear power industry are designed to resist tornadic paragraph and references forces. Sufficient information is available to impleon design for tornadoes in [7] Mehta, K.C.; Minor, J.E.; McDonald, J.R.; Man-ning, B.R.; Abernathy, J.J.; Koehler, U.W. 1975 engi-neering aspects of tornadoes of April 3.4, 1974, Washment tornado-resistant design for aboveground shelters the Commentary and for buildings that house essential facilities for postington, D.C.: National Academy of Sciences; 1975. disaster recovery. This information is in the form of [8] Mehta, K.C.; McDonald, J.R.; Minor, J.B. Tornadic loads on structures. In: Ishizaki and Chiu, Eda., Wind effects on structures, Proceedings of the second USA-Japan research seminar. Tokyo, Japan: University of tornado risk probabilities, tornadic windspeeds, and Tornado Commentary associated forces. References [4] through [10] proexpanded in ASCE 7-95, vide guidance in developing wind load criteria for Tokyo Press; 1976: 15-26 tornado-resistant design. then unchanged through [9] Minor, J.E.; McDonald, J.R.; Mehta, K.C. The [7] minor, J.L., incontact, J.K., includ, K.C. The tornado: An engineering-oriented perspective. Norman, OK: National Severe Storms Laboratory; 1977; NOAA Tech. Memo. ERL NSSL-82. 196 p. **ASCE 7-10** [10] Wen, Y.K.; Chu, S.L. Tornado risk and design eed. J. Structural Div., ASCE. 99(ST 12) ASCE | KNOWLEDGE & LEARNING 2409-2421; December 1973. 110

![](_page_55_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

Unit 1 Summary

![](_page_57_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_3.jpeg)